

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,885	09/12/2003	Seiji Hashimoto	1232-5139	6942
27123 7590 03/20/2007 MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER			EXAMINER	
			LE, TUAN H	
NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2622	
				-
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 03/		03/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/660,885	HASHIMOTO, SEIJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Tuan H. Le	2622				
 The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply 	opears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become A	IICATION. A reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	Sentember 2003					
	is action is non-final.					
· -	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
· <u> </u>						
	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· <u> </u>) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	·				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 September 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s)		·				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \prod Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	s(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
apor 140(3)/Mail Date	o/ L.J Ouler	 ·				

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of the Group I which read on claims 1-7 in the reply filed on February 22, 2007 is acknowledged. Because **applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement**, the election has been treated as an election **without traverse** (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 8-10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected claim group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on February 22, 2007.

The Election/Restrictions requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made Final.

Drawings

Figures 1-4 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the

Art Unit: 2622

applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 recites the limitations "the period during which the amount of light that causes the flicker" and "the predetermined value or smaller" in lines 2-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitations "the period during which the amount of light that causes the flicker", "said predetermined value or smaller", and "the amount of light emitted by" in lines 8-11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the period during which said image pickup unit accumulates photoelectric charges" in lines 16-17. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,885

Art Unit: 2622

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Poplin et al (U.S. Pat. 7,187,405 B2).

Regarding **claim 1**, Poplin et al discloses an image pickup apparatus comprising: an image pickup unit (10) for picking up an image of an object;

a detection circuit (24) for detecting a flicker; and

a correction circuit (26) for adjusting an image pickup condition of said image pickup unit in accordance with a detection result of said detection circuit, (see Poplin et al, Fig. 1, column 1 lines 65-67, and column 6 lines 12-20).

As for **claim 2**, as previously mentioned in the discussion of claim 1, Poplin et al discloses all of the limitations of the parent claim. In addition, Poplin et al discloses that the image pickup condition is a condition for driving said image pickup unit, (see Poplin et al, column 6 lines 12-20).

As for **claim 3**, as previously mentioned in the discussion of claim 1, Poplin et al discloses all of the limitations of the parent claim. In addition, Poplin et al discloses that during a period other than a period during which the amount of light that causes the

Art Unit: 2622

flicker is a predetermined value or smaller, said correction circuit permits said image pickup unit to accumulate photoelectric charges, (see Poplin et al, column 10 lines 1-4).

As for **claim 4**, as previously mentioned in the discussion of claim 1, Poplin et al discloses all of the limitations of the parent claim. In addition, Poplin et al discloses

a light source (14) for emitting light,

wherein the image pickup condition (32,34) is a light emission timing of said light source, (see Poplin et al, Fig. 1, Fig. 3, and column 6 lines 14-24)

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Munson et al (U.S. Pat. 6,295,085 B1) discloses a method and apparatus for eliminating the oscillating effect of a light source. The method detects a set of light intensity levels, generates a set of pulses based on the set of light intensity, and determines an oscillating frequency of the light source based upon the set of pulses.

Kasahara et al (U.S. Pat. 6,710,818 B1) discloses illumination flicker detection apparatus, illumination flicker compensation apparatus and ac line frequency measuring apparatuses and methods of detecting illumination flicker, compensating flicker, and measuring ac line frequency.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan H. Le whose telephone number is (571) 270-1130. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00 F 7:30-4:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,885

Art Unit: 2622

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tuan Le

March 15, 2007.

LINYE

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER